1 2

3

4

56

7

8

9

10

11

12

_

STEVEN OMAR LARRY WILSON,

Petitioner,

Respondent.

13

v.

BRYAN D. PHILLIPS,

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25 <u>I</u> 26 s

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 1:23-cv-00943-EPG-HC

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Petitioner Steven Omar Larry Wilson is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

When a state prisoner files a habeas petition in a state that contains two or more federal judicial districts, the petition may be filed in either the judicial district in which the petitioner is presently confined or the judicial district in which he was convicted and sentenced. See 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); Rumsfeld v. Padilla, 542 U.S. 426, 442 (2004) (quoting Carbo v. United States, 364 U.S. 611, 618, 81 S. Ct. 338, 5 L. Ed. 2d 329 (1961)). Petitions challenging the execution of a sentence are preferably heard in the district where the inmate is confined. See Dunne v. Henman, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989). Petitions challenging convictions or sentences are preferably heard in the district of conviction. See Laue v. Nelson, 279 F. Supp. 265, 266 (N.D. Cal. 1968). Section 2241 further states that, rather than dismissing an improperly filed action, a district court, "in the exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice[,] may

1	transfer" the habeas petition to another federal district for hearing and determination. Id.; see also
2	28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) (court may transfer any civil action "to any other district or division where it
3	might have been brought" for convenience of parties or "in the interest of justice").
4	Here, Petitioner's claims relate to his convictions and sentence from the Sacramento
5	County Superior Court, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United States District
6	Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, venue is proper in the Sacramento
7	Division. Local Rule 120(d).
8	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper
9	court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action
10	will be transferred to the Sacramento Division.
11	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
12	1. This action is TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the Eastern
13	District of California sitting in Sacramento; and
14	2. All future filings shall reference the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall
15	be filed at:
16	United States District Court
17	Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200
18	Sacramento, CA 95814
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.
20	Dated: June 26, 2023 /s/ Encir P. Strong
21	Dated: June 26, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	